arXiv:1407.6775v1 [math.RA] 25 Jul 2014

# SOLVABLE QUADRATIC LIE ALGEBRAS OF DIMENSIONS $\leq 8$

MINH THANH DUONG AND ROSANE USHIROBIRA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we classify solvable Lie algebras of dimensions $\leq 8$ endowed with a nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form over an algebraically closed field. This classification (up to isomorphisms) is mainly based on the double extension method.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider $\mathfrak{g}$ a quadratic Lie algebra, that is, $\mathfrak{g}$ is equipped with a nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form $B$. A well-known problem in the theory of quadratic Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ is to establish their classification. This problem was intensively studied in many works. For instance, it is initiated for nilpotent quadratic Lie algebras of dimension $\leq 7$ in [FS87] and more recently, in [Kat07] the case of real nilpotent quadratic Lie algebras of dimension $\leq 10$ was examined. For the nonsolvable case, real quadratic Lie algebras up to dimension 9 were analyzed in [CS08] and up to dimension 13 in a recent work [BE]. However, the classification of **solvable nonnilpotent** quadratic Lie algebras is not trivial and it is only known in dimensions $\leq 6$ [BK03]. This was our motivation to attempt such a classification for higher-dimensional Lie algebras. With this aim, in this work we provide a classification of solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimensions $\leq 8$. In this paper, as frequently for quadratic Lie algebras, isomorphisms mean also isometries with respect to the invariant bilinear form. The main method used here is the double extension procedure defined in [MR85].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall basis definitions and give some useful results. We also present the classification of solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimensions $\leq 6$. In Section 2, the classification of solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimension 7 is obtained, by using the double extension of 5-dimensional solvable quadratic Lie algebras. Section 3 is dedicated to the case when dimension is 8, by applying

*Date*: July 28, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A21, 15A63, 17B05, 17B30.

*Key words and phrases.* Quadratic Lie algebras, Solvable, Double extension, Classification.

the double extension procedure for 6-dimensional solvable quadratic Lie algebras.

## 1. Quadratic Lie algebras of dimensions $\leq 6$

**Definition 1.1.** A Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called a *quadratic Lie algebra* if it is endowed with a nondegenerate invariant (i.e. $B([X,Y],Z) = B(X,[Y,Z])$ for all $X,\ Y,\ Z \in \mathfrak{g}$) symmetric bilinear form $B$.

It is easy to check that if $I$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ then $I^{\perp}$ is also an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, if $I$ is nondegenerate (i.e. $B|_{I\times I}$ is nondegenerate), so is $I^{\perp}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = I \oplus I^{\perp}$. In this case, we use the convenient notation $\mathfrak{g} = I \overset{\perp}{\oplus} I^{\perp}$. This implies that in order to study quadratic Lie algebras one may focus on *indecomposable* ones. Recall that a quadratic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called indecomposable if it does not contain any proper ideal which is nondegenerate. Otherwise, we call $\mathfrak{g}$ *decomposable*.

Clearly, if $\mathfrak{g}$ has a nonzero central element $X$ that is not isotropic (i.e. $B(X,X) \neq 0$), then $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable. A vector subspace $V$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *totally isotropic* if $B(X,Y) = 0$ for all $X,\ Y \in V$. Thus, for indecomposable quadratic Lie algebras, the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ needs to be totally isotropic, or equivalently $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$.

**Definition 1.2.** Two quadratic Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g},B)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}',B')$ are *isometrically isomorphic* (or *i-isomorphic*, for short) if there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism $A$ from $\mathfrak{g}$ onto $\mathfrak{g}'$ satisfying $B'(A(X),A(Y)) = B(X,Y)$ for all $X,\ Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. In this case, $A$ is called an *i-isomorphism*.

**In this paper, by an isomorphism we mean an i-isomorphim.**

**Definition 1.3.** Let $(\mathfrak{h},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}},B)$ be a quadratic Lie algebra and $D$ a *skew-symmetric* derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$ (i.e. $D$ satisfies $B(D(X),Y) = -B(X,D(Y))$ for all $X,\ Y \in \mathfrak{h}$). We define on the vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}e \oplus \mathbb{F}f$ the product:

$$[X,Y] = [X,Y]_{\mathfrak{h}} + B(D(X),Y)f,\ [e,X] = D(X),\ \forall X,\ Y \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ and } [f,\mathfrak{g}] = 0.$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is a quadratic Lie algebra with invariant bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ defined by:

$$B_{\mathfrak{g}}(e,e) = B_{\mathfrak{g}}(f,f) = B_{\mathfrak{g}}(e,\mathfrak{h}) = B_{\mathfrak{g}}(f,\mathfrak{h}) = 0,\ B_{\mathfrak{g}}(X,Y) = B(X,Y)$$

and $B_{\mathfrak{g}}(e,f) = 1$ for all $X,\ Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We call $\mathfrak{g}$ the *double extension of* $\mathfrak{h}$ *by means of* $D$ or a *one-dimensional double extension of* $\mathfrak{h}$, for short. We may use the notation $(\mathfrak{g},B_{\mathfrak{g}},D)$.

Double extensions are a very useful procedure to obtain quadratic Lie algebras from given ones and they appear frequently in the classification problem. In the above Definition, if $\mathfrak{h}$ is Abelian and $D \neq 0$ then it is obvious that $[[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}],[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]]$ is at most one-dimensional. In this case, $\mathfrak{g}$ is called

a *1-step double extension* or a *singular* quadratic Lie algebra as described in [DPU12]. All 1-step double extensions were completely classified in [DPU12] and their relation to the classification of $\mathrm{O}(n)$-adjoint orbits in $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ was established. Moreover, a remarkable result in [FS87] asserts that one-dimensional double extensions are sufficient for studying solvable quadratic Lie algebras.

Next, we provide some classification criteria that are useful for our work.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, B_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, D_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, B_{\mathfrak{g}_2}, D_2)$ be double extensions of $(\mathfrak{h}, B)$ by means of $D_1$ and $D_2$ respectively. We write $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}e \oplus \mathbb{F}f$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}e' \oplus \mathbb{F}f'$ as double extensions. Then there is an isomorphism $A : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ satisfying $A(\mathbb{F}f) = \mathbb{F}f'$ if and only if there exist an isomorphism $P : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}$, a nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and an element $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $P^{-1}D_1P = \lambda D_2 + \mathrm{ad}(X)$.*

*Proof.* Let $A : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ be an isomorphism satisfying $A(\mathbb{F}f) = \mathbb{F}f'$ then there is a nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $A(f) = \alpha f'$. Since $B_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(A(\mathfrak{h}), A(f)) = 0$, one has $A(\mathfrak{h}) \subset f'^{\perp} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}f'$. Hence, we can decompose $A(Y) = A_0(Y) + \phi(Y)f'$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ where $A_0 : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}$ and $\phi : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{F}$. It is easy to check that $A_0$ is also an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$.

We assume that $A(e) = \lambda e' + X + \gamma f'$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}$. Since $A$ is an isometry, $B_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(A(e), A(f)) = 1$ and $B_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(A(e), A(e)) = 0$, so one has $\lambda = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\gamma = -\frac{B(X,X)}{2\lambda}$. For all $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$, since $0 = B_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(Y, e) = B_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(A(Y), A(e))$ one has $\phi(Y) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}B(X, A_0(Y))$. Moreover,

$$A([e,Y]) = A(D_1(Y)) = A_0(D_1(Y)) + \phi(D_1(Y))f'$$

and

$$[A(e), A(Y)] = \lambda D_2(A_0(Y)) + \mathrm{ad}(X)(A_0(Y)) + B(D_2(X), A_0(Y))f'$$

then $A_0(D_1(Y)) = \lambda D_2(A_0(Y)) + \mathrm{ad}(X)(A_0(Y))$. It means $A_0D_1 = \lambda D_2A_0 + \mathrm{ad}(X)A_0$. In this case, if we set $P = A_0^{-1}$ then $P^{-1}D_1P = \lambda D_2 + \mathrm{ad}(X)$.

Conversely, if there exist an isomorphism $P : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}$, a nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and an element $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $P^{-1}D_1P = \lambda D_2 + \mathrm{ad}(X)$ then we define $A : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ by: $A(e) = \lambda e' + X - \frac{B(X,X)}{2\lambda}f'$, $A(Y) = P^{-1}(Y) - \frac{1}{\lambda}B(X, P^{-1}(Y))f'$ and $A(f) = \frac{1}{\lambda}f'$. It is straightforward to check that $A$ is an isomorphism. □

Since isomorphisms and invertible derivations preserve the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ then we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, B_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, D_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, B_{\mathfrak{g}_2}, D_2)$ be double extensions of $(\mathfrak{h}, B)$ where $D_1$ and $D_2$ are invertible derivations. Assume $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ are isomorphic. Consider $D_1^c = D_1|_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})}$ and $D_2^c = D_2|_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})}$. Then $D_1^c$ coincides with $D_2^c$ up to a conjugation and up to nonzero multiples. As a consequence, if $D_1^c$ is diagonalizable then so is $D_2^c$.*

*Proof.* We write $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}e \oplus \mathbb{F}f$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}e' \oplus \mathbb{F}f'$ as double extensions. Assume $A : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ is an isomorphism. By $D_1$ and $D_2$ invertible one has $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \mathbb{F}f$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_2) = \mathbb{F}f'$. So $A(\mathbb{F}f) = \mathbb{F}f'$ and then the result follows Lemma 1.4. □

**Definition 1.6.** Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a vector space of dimension $m$ endowed with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $B$. In this case, $(\mathfrak{q}, B)$ is called a *quadratic vector space*. We call a *canonical basis* $\mathscr{B} = \{X_1, \ldots, X_m\}$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ if it satisfies the following conditions: if $m$ even, $m = 2n$, write

$$\mathscr{B} = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n, Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\},$$

if $m$ is odd, $m = 2n+1$, write

$$\mathscr{B} = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n, T, Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}.$$

One has:

- if $m = 2n$ then $B(X_i, Z_j) = \delta_{ij},\ B(X_i, X_j) = B(Z_i, Z_j) = 0$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
- if $m = 2n+1$ then $B(X_i, Z_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $B(X_i, X_j) = B(Z_i, Z_j) = B(X_i, T) = B(Z_j, T) = 0$, $B(T, T) = 1$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

**Definition 1.7.** Let $(\mathfrak{q}, B_\mathfrak{q})$, $(\mathfrak{h}, B_\mathfrak{h})$ be a quadratic vector spaces. Assume that $\mathfrak{h}$ is 4-dimensional. Let $\{X_1, X_2, Z_1, Z_2\}$ be a canonical basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $C_1, C_2 \in \mathfrak{o}(\mathfrak{q})$ satisfying $C_1C_2 = C_2C_1$. We define on $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ the product as follows:

$$[X_i, X] = C_i(X),\ [X, Y] = B_\mathfrak{q}(C_1(X), Y)Z_1 + B_\mathfrak{q}(C_2(X), Y)Z_2$$

for all $X,\ Y \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ becomes a quadratic Lie algebra with invariant bilinear form $B = B_\mathfrak{q} + B_\mathfrak{h}$ and it is called a *double extension of* $\mathfrak{q}$ *by the pair* $(C_1, C_2)$.

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *and* $\mathfrak{g}'$ *be double extensions of* $(\mathfrak{q}, B_\mathfrak{q})$ *by the pairs* $(C_1, C_2)$ *and* $(C_1', C_2')$ *respectively. We assume* $C_1$ *and* $C_1'$ *are invertible. Then* $\mathfrak{g}$ *and* $\mathfrak{g}'$ *are isomorphic if and only if there exist an isometry* $P$ *of* $\mathfrak{q}$ *and nonzero pairs* $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ *in* $\mathbb{F}^2$ *such that* $\lambda_1\gamma_2 - \lambda_2\gamma_1 \neq 0$,

$$PC_1P^{-1} = \lambda_1C_1' + \lambda_2C_2' \ \text{ and } \ PC_2P^{-1} = \gamma_1C_1' + \gamma_2C_2'.$$

*Proof.* We write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'$ as double extensions by the pairs $(C_1, C_2)$ and $(C_1', C_2')$ respectively where $\mathfrak{h} = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2, Z_1, Z_2\}$, $\mathfrak{h}' = \text{span}\{X_1', X_2', Z_1', Z_2'\}$, $[X_i, X] = C_i(X)$ and $[X_i', X] = C_i'(X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{q}$. Denote by $B_\mathfrak{g}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ the invariant symmetric bilinear forms on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ respectively.

If $A : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}'$ is an isomorphism then it maps $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}Z_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}Z_2$ onto $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}Z_1' \oplus \mathbb{F}Z_2'$ since $C_1$, $C_1'$ are invertible . Moreover, one has $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{F}Z_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}Z_2$ and

$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}') = \mathbb{F}Z_1' \oplus \mathbb{F}Z_2'$. Therefore, we can assume

$$A(X_1) = \lambda_1 X_1' + \lambda_2 X_2' + T_1 + \alpha_1 Z_1' + \alpha_2 Z_2',$$
$$A(X_2) = \gamma_1 X_1' + \gamma_2 X_2' + T_2 + \beta_1 Z_1' + \beta_2 Z_2',$$

$A(X) = P(X) + \phi_1(X)Z_1' + \phi_2(X)Z_2'$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{q}$, and $A(Z_1) = a_1 Z_1' + a_2 Z_2'$, $A(Z_2) = b_1 Z_1' + b_2 Z_2'$ where $T_1, T_2 \in \mathfrak{q}$, $P : \mathfrak{q} \to \mathfrak{q}$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 : \mathfrak{q} \to \mathbb{F}$. Since $B_{\mathfrak{q}}(P(X),P(Y)) = B_{\mathfrak{g}'}(A(X),A(Y)) = B_{\mathfrak{q}}(X,Y)$ for all $X,Y \in \mathfrak{q}$ then $P$ is an isometry of $\mathfrak{q}$. On the other hand, $B_{\mathfrak{g}'}(A(X_1),A(Z_1)) = 1$ and $B_{\mathfrak{g}'}(A(X_2),A(Z_1)) = 0$ then the determinant $\begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \end{vmatrix} = \lambda_1\gamma_2 - \lambda_2\gamma_1$ must be nonzero. By checking the conditions $A[X_1,X] = [A(X_1),A(X)]$ and $A[X_2,X] = [A(X_2),A(X)]$ we obtain $PC_1P^{-1} = \lambda_1 C_1' + \lambda_2 C_2'$ and $PC_2P^{-1} = \gamma_1 C_1' + \gamma_2 C_2'$.

Conversely, if there exist an isometry $P$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ and nonzero pairs $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$, $(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)$ in $\mathbb{F}^2$ such that $\lambda_1\gamma_2 - \lambda_2\gamma_1 \neq 0$, $PC_1P^{-1} = \lambda_1 C_1' + \lambda_2 C_2'$ and $PC_2P^{-1} = \gamma_1 C_1' + \gamma_2 C_2'$ then we define $A(X_1) = \lambda_1 X_1' + \lambda_2 X_2'$, $A(X_2) = \gamma_1 X_1' + \gamma_2 X_2'$, $A(X) = P(X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{q}$, and $A(Z_1) = a_1 Z_1' + a_2 Z_2'$, $A(Z_2) = b_1 Z_1' + b_2 Z_2'$ where $a_i, b_i$ satisfy the conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 a_1 + \lambda_2 a_2 = 1 \\ \gamma_1 a_1 + \gamma_2 a_2 = 0 \\ \lambda_1 b_1 + \lambda_2 b_2 = 0 \\ \gamma_1 b_1 + \gamma_2 b_2 = 1 \end{cases}.$$

We can check that $A$ is an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}$ onto $\mathfrak{g}'$. □

Definition 1.7 and Proposition 1.8 are enough for our purpose in the classification of solvable quadratic Lie algebras of low dimensions. But for a larger view about quadratic Lie algebras having $[[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}],[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]] \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, we introduce another type as in the below example.

**Example 1.9.** Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q} \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}T$, $\mathfrak{h}$ be quadratic vector spaces with nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms $B_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{h}}$ respectively. We assume $\mathfrak{h}$ is 4-dimensional and $B_{\mathfrak{a}}(T,T) = 1$. Let $\{X_1,X_2,Z_1,Z_2\}$ be a canonical basis of $\mathfrak{h}$, and $C_1, C_2 \in \mathfrak{o}(\mathfrak{q})$ satisfying $C_1C_2 = C_2C_1$. We define on $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ the product as follows:

$$[X_1,X_2] = T,\ [X_1,T] = -Z_2,\ [X_2,T] = Z_1,\ [X_i,X] = C_i(X),$$

$[X,Y] = B_{\mathfrak{a}}(C_1(X),Y)Z_1 + B_{\mathfrak{a}}(C_2(X),Y)Z_2$ for all $X,\ Y \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $[T,\mathfrak{q}] = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ becomes a quadratic Lie algebra with invariant bilinear form $B = B_{\mathfrak{a}} + B_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and it is called a *double extension of* $\mathfrak{q}$ *by the triple* $(T,C_1,C_2)$.

Finally we recall the classification of solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimension $\leq 6$ is given in [BK03] as follows.

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a solvable quadratic Lie algebra of dimension $n \leq 6$.*

(1) *If $n \leq 3$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}^n$.*
(2) *If $n = 4$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}^4$ or the diamond Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_4$ where $\mathfrak{g}_4$ is the double extension of $\mathbb{F}^2$ by means of $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ in a canonical basis of $\mathbb{F}^2$.*
(3) *If $n = 5$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}^5$, $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$ or $\mathfrak{g}_5$ where $\mathfrak{g}_5$ is the double extension of $\mathbb{F}^3$ by means of $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in a canonical basis of $\mathbb{F}^3$.*
(4) *If $n = 6$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}^6$, $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}^2$, $\mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$ or the quadratic Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{6,2}(\lambda)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{6,3}$ which are the double extensions of $\mathbb{F}^4$ by means of*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

*respectively in a canonical basis of $\mathbb{F}^4$ with $0 < |\lambda| \leq 1$. In this case, $\mathfrak{g}_{6,2}(\lambda_1)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{6,2}(\lambda_2)$ is isomorphic if and only if $\lambda_2 = \pm\lambda_1$ or $\lambda_2 = \pm\lambda_1^{-1}$.*

For the non solvable case of dimension $n \leq 6$, the reader is referred to [CS08] or [BE] where we have five Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$, $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F}) \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}^i$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $T_0^*(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F}))$ the semidirect product of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ and its dual space by the coadjoint representation.

## 2. Solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimension 7

In this section, we shall give a complete classification of 7-dimensional solvable quadratic Lie algebras. First we recall Lemma 5.1 in [Med85] as follows.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a quadratic Lie algebra and $D_1$, $D_2$ skew-symmetric derivations of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $D_1 - D_2 = \mathrm{ad}(X)$ with $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ then double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$ by means of $D_1$ and $D_2$ are isomorphic.*

Remark that this is a particular case of Lemma 1.4 where $P = \mathrm{id}$ and $\lambda = 1$. Another useful and straightforward result is that double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$ by means of $D$ and $\lambda D$ with nonzero $\lambda$ are isomorphic.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a solvable quadratic Lie algebra of dimension 7.*

(1) *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_6 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$ where $\mathfrak{g}_6$ is a solvable quadratic Lie algebra of dimension 6 given in Proposition 1.10 (4).*

(2) *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is indecomposable then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to each of the following quadratic Lie algebras:*

(i) *$\mathfrak{g}_{7,1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{7,2}$: the double extensions of $\mathbb{F}^5$ by means of*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

*respectively in a canonical basis of $\mathbb{F}^5$,*

(ii) *$\mathfrak{g}_{7,3}$: the double extension of $\mathfrak{g}_5$ by means of*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

*in a canonical basis $\{X_1, X_2, T, Z_1, Z_2\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_5$ with $[X_1, X_2] = T$, $[X_1, T] = -Z_2$ and $[X_2, T] = Z_1$.*

*Proof.* The statement (1) is obvious and it follows Proposition 1.10. We assume $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ is an indecomposable solvable quadratic Lie algebra of dimension 7. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ contains an isotropic central element $Z$ and, hence, there exists an isotropic element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $B(Z,X) = 1$. If we denote by $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathbb{F}X \oplus \mathbb{F}Z)^{\perp}$ the orthogonal component of $\mathbb{F}X \oplus \mathbb{F}Z$ with respect to $B$, with the Lie structure induced by the one of $Z^{\perp}/\mathbb{F}Z$ then we can check that $\mathfrak{h}$ with the bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{h}} := B|_{\mathfrak{h}\times\mathfrak{h}}$ is a solvable quadratic Lie algebra of dimension 5 and $\mathfrak{g}$ is the double extension of $\mathfrak{h}$ by means of the derivation $D = \mathrm{ad}(X)|_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Therefore we can begin with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}e \oplus \mathbb{F}f$ a double extension of $(\mathfrak{h}, B)$ by means of $D$ where $\mathfrak{h}$ is a solvable quadratic Lie algebra of dimension 5 and $D$ is a skew-symmetric derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$. From the classification of solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimension 5 we consider the following cases:

- $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{F}^5$. In this case, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a 1-step double extension and the classification of $\mathfrak{g}$ follows the classification of linear maps $D \in \mathfrak{o}(5)$ with

totally isotropic kernel up to conjugation and up to nonzero multiples (see details in [DPU12]). So we obtain two corresponding Lie algebras given in (i).

- $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$. Choose a basis $\{X, P, Q, Z, Y\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that the nonzero brackets $[X,P] = P$, $[X,Q] = -Q$, $[P,Q] = Z$ and the nonzero bilinear forms $B(X,Z) = B(P,Q) = B(Y,Y) = 1$.

  It is straightforward to check that $D$ is a skew-symmetric derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$ if and only if $D$ has the matrix in the given basis as follows:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z & 0 & -x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -z & -y & 0 & t \\ -t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

  where $x,\ y,\ z,\ t \in \mathbb{F}$. By Lemma 2.1, we can assume that $x$, $y$, $z$ are zero and then it is easy to see that the double extension of $\mathfrak{h}$ by means of $D$ is a 1-step double extension.
- $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_5$. Choose a canonical basis $\{X_1, X_2, T, Z_1, Z_2\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_5$ with $[X_1, X_2] = T$, $[X_1, T] = -Z_2$, $[X_2, T] = Z_1$. We can check that $D$ is a skew-symmetric derivation of $\mathfrak{g}_5$ if and only if the matrix of $D$ in the given basis is:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} x & z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y & -x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t & b & -x & -y \\ t & 0 & c & -z & x \end{pmatrix}$$

  where $x,\ y,\ z,\ t,\ b,\ c \in \mathbb{F}$. Combined with Lemma 2.1, we consider only $D$ with matrix: $D = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -A^t \end{pmatrix}$ where $A = \begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ y & -x \end{pmatrix}$ and $A^t$ is the transpose of $A$.

  If $P$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix such that its determinant is 1. Set $\begin{pmatrix} X_1' & X_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \end{pmatrix} P$ and $\begin{pmatrix} Z_1' & Z_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & Z_2 \end{pmatrix} (P^t)^{-1}$. It is easy to check that $\{X_1', X_2', T, Z_1', Z_2'\}$ is still a canonical basis of $\mathfrak{g}_5$. Moreover, $[X_1', X_2'] = T$, $[X_1', T] = -Z_2$, $[X_2', T] = Z_1$. In this case, the matrix of $D$ in the basis $\{X_1', X_2', T, Z_1', Z_2'\}$ is given by:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1}AP & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -P^t A^t (P^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since every matrix is similar to a matrix in Jordan form and $A$ has the zero trace so we only consider matrices $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

It is obvious that if $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable. If $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. In this case, the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by:

$$[e, X_2] = X_1,\ [e, Z_1] = -Z_2,\ [X_1, X_2] = T,\ [X_1, T] = -Z_2,$$

$[X_2, T] = Z_1$ and $[X_2, Z_1] = f$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q} \oplus (\mathbb{F}X_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}Z_2)$ is the double extension of $\mathfrak{q}$ spanned by $\{e, X_1, T, f, Z_1\}$ by the skew-symmetric $C : \mathfrak{q} \to \mathfrak{q}$, $C(e) = -X_1$, $C(X_1) = -T$, $C(T) = Z_1$ and $C(Z_1) = f$. This quadratic Lie algebra is 1-step.

It remains $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. In this case, $[[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]]$ is generated by $\{T, Z_1, Z_2, f\}$ so $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a 1-step double extension. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}$ is also indecomposable since otherwise $\mathfrak{g}$ would be a 1-step double extension (recall that all non-Abelian solvable quadratic Lie algebras up to dimension 6 are 1-step double extensions). This algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_{7,3}$ given in (ii) and the proposition is proved.

□

*Remark* 2.3. In the above proof, we can begin with a solvable quadratic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension 7 having a totally isotropic center. Since $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is nilpotent then $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is either Abelian or isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_5$. The case $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ Abelian were classified in [KO04] and [KO06] by cohomology. In the case $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_5$, it is obvious that $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})) = 1$, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a double extension of $\mathfrak{g}_5$ by a skew-symmetric derivation invertible on the center of $\mathfrak{g}_5$ and it can not be a 1-step double extension.

## 3. Solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimension 8

In the following we will give a classification of solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimension 8. We apply again the double extension method for each of six-dimensional solvable quadratic Lie algebras given in Proposition 1.10. In particular, we will describe step by step double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}^2$, $\mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{6,2}(\lambda)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{6,3}$.

### 3.1. Double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}^2$.

It is a straightforward computation to obtain that if $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}^n$, $n \geq 1$, choosing a basis $\{X, P, Q, Z\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_4$ such that the nonzero bilinear forms $B(X,Z) = B(P,Q) = 1$, the nonzero brackets $[X,P] = P$, $[X,Q] = -Q$, $[P,Q] = Z$ and an orthonormal basis $\{X_i\}$ of $\mathbb{F}^n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, then all skew-symmetric derivations of $\mathfrak{h}$ can be described by the following matrix with respect to the basis $\{X, P, Q, Z, X_i\}$:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z & 0 & -x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -z & -y & 0 & A \\ -A^t & 0 & 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \end{pmatrix}$, $x,\ y,\ z,\ x_i \in \mathbb{F}$ and $C \in \mathfrak{o}(n)$. By Lemma 2.1, we can suppose that $x,\ y,\ z$ are zero. In this case, if $P$ is an isometry of $\mathbb{F}^n$ then $Q := \mathrm{id} \otimes P$ with id the identity map of $\mathfrak{g}_4$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$ and it operates on $D$ to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & AP \\ -P^tA^t & 0 & P^{-1}CP \end{pmatrix}$.

Now we consider a particular situation where $n = 2$. By the classification of $\mathrm{O}(2)$-adjoint orbit in $\mathfrak{o}(2)$, we have two following cases:

(1) $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then it is easy to check that the double extension of $\mathfrak{h}$ by means of $D$ is a 1-step double extension of $\mathbb{F}^6$ generated by $\{e, P, Q, f, X_1, X_2\}$.

(2) $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\{X_1, X_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{F}^2$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}e \oplus \mathbb{F}f$ be the double extension of $\mathfrak{h}$ by means of $D$. Replacing $X + x_2X_1 - x_1X_2$ by $X$, $X_1 - x_2Z$ by $X_1$ and $X_2 + x_1Z$ by $X_2$, one has $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{span}\{e, X_1, X_2, f\} \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathrm{span}\{X, P, Q, Z\}$ decomposable.

Hence, we can conclude that every one-dimensional double extension of $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}^2$ is 1-step or decomposable.

### 3.2. **Double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$.**

If $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}^n$, $n \geq 1$, choosing a canonical basis $\{X_1, X_2, T, Z_1, Z_2\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_5$ such that $[X_1, X_2] = T$, $[X_1, T] = -Z_2$, $[X_2, T] = Z_1$ and an orthonormal basis

$\{Y_i\}$ of $\mathbb{F}^n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, then all skew-symmetric derivations of $\mathfrak{g}$ can be described by the following matrix with respect to the basis $\{X_1, X_2, T, Z_1, Z_2, Y_i\}$:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} x & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y & -x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t & b & -x & -y & A \\ t & 0 & c & -z & x & B \\ -A^t & -B^t & 0 & 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_n \end{pmatrix}$, $x$, $y$, $z$, $b$, $c$, $t$, $x_i$, $y_i \in \mathbb{F}$ and $C \in \mathfrak{o}(n)$. Combining with Lemma 2.1 where inner derivations can be eliminated we can assume that $b$, $c$ and $t$ are zero.

For the case of dimension 8, one has $n = 1$ and then we can write $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}Y$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} -x & -z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -y & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & -\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z & -x & -\beta \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the basis $\{X_1, X_2, T, Z_1, Z_2, Y\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$. Following the classification of double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}_5$ in Section 2, we consider isomorphisms $P : \mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$ such that $P := Q \otimes \mathrm{id}$ with $Q$ an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_5$ and id the identity map of $\mathbb{F}$. As a consequence, we have three following cases:

(1) $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable. If $\alpha \neq 0$ then we can choose $\alpha = 1$. In this case, replacing $X_2 - \beta X_1$ by $X_2$ and $Z_1 + \beta Z_2$ by $Z_1$, we obtain $\beta = 0$. It is easy to check that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a 1-step double extension of $\mathbb{F}^6 = \mathrm{span}\{e, X_2, Y, T, f, Z_2\}$.

(2) $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -\beta \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $\alpha = 0$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is a 1-step double extension of $\mathbb{F}^6 = \mathrm{span}\{e, X_1, Y, T, f, Z_1\}$. If $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta = 0$ then the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by: $[e, X_1] = \alpha Y$, $[e, X_2] = X_1$,

$[e,Z_1]=-Z_2$, $[e,Y]=-\alpha Z_1$, $[X_1,X_2]=T$, $[X_1,T]=-Z_2$, $[X_2,T]=Z_1$, $[X_2,Z_1]=f$ and $[X_1,Y]=\alpha f$. It is easy to check that $\dim([\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}])=6$, $\dim([[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}],[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]])=2$ and this Lie algebra is 5-nilpotent. Therefore it must be indecomposable and not a 1-step double extension by following the classification in lower dimensions. We denote this quadratic Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g}_{8,1}(\alpha)$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{8,1}(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,1}(-\alpha)$ is isomorphic.

If $\alpha$ and $\beta\neq 0$ then we set $X_2:=X_2-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}X_1$ and $Z_1:=Z_1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}Z_1$ to turn to the previous case of $\alpha\neq 0$ and $\beta=0$.

(3) $D=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&-1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&-1&0&-\alpha\\0&0&0&0&1&-\beta\\\alpha&\beta&0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$. In this case, $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable since $u=Y-\alpha Z_1+\beta Z_2$ is central and $B(u,u)=1$.

3.3. **Double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$.**

Let us consider $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$ and choose a canonical basis $\{X_i,Z_i\}$, $1\leq i\leq 3$, of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that the nonzero brackets $[X_1,X_2]=Z_3$, $[X_2,X_3]=Z_1$, $[X_3,X_1]=Z_2$. If $D$ is a skew-symmetric derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$ then the matrix of $D$ in the given basis is:

$$D=\begin{pmatrix}A&0\\B&-A^t\end{pmatrix}$$

where $A$ is a $3\times 3$ matrix with zero trace and $B$ is a skew-symmetric $3\times 3$ matrix. Eliminating inner derivations we can assume that $B=0$.

Let $P$ be a $3\times 3$ matrix with determinant 1. Change the basis by setting $\begin{pmatrix}X_1'&X_2'&X_3'\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}X_1&X_2&X_3\end{pmatrix}P$ and $\begin{pmatrix}Z_1'&Z_2'&Z_3'\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}Z_1&Z_2&Z_3\end{pmatrix}(P^t)^{-1}$. It is easy to check that $\{X_i',Z_i'\}$ is still a canonical basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $[X_1',X_2']=Z_3'$, $[X_2',X_3']=Z_1'$, $[X_3',X_1']=Z_2'$. In this case, the matrix of $D$ in the basis $\{X_i',Z_i'\}$:

$$D=\begin{pmatrix}P^{-1}AP&0\\0&-P^tA^t(P^t)^{-1}\end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies the classification of double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$ following the classification of similar equivalent classes of $A$. Since $A$ has the zero trace so we have the following cases for the matrix $A$ (up to nonzero multiples):

$$\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\ \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\ \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\0&0&1\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1-\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$ and $-1$.

For two first cases, we can check that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a 1-step double extension. For the third, $\mathfrak{g}$ is 5-step nilpotent and $\dim([[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}],[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]]) = 2$ so $\mathfrak{g}$ is indecomposable and not 1-step. We denote it by $\mathfrak{g}_{8,2}$.

(1) $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ then the obtained quadratic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a 1-step double extension since $[[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}],[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]]$ is of 2-dimensional. We shall prove that $\mathfrak{g}$ is indecomposable. Indeed, assume $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathrm{span}\{Z_1, f\}$ is totally isotropic and $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable then $\mathfrak{g}$ must be decomposable by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_4$. Observe that the derived ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_4$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{h}(1)$ as a Lie algebra where $\mathfrak{h}(1)$ is the Heisenberg Lie algebra of dimension 3. This is a contradiction because $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] = \mathrm{span}\{X_2, X_3, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, f\}$ with Lie bracket: $[X_2, Z_2] = [Z_3, X_3] = f$, $[X_2, X_3] = Z_1$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}$ is indecomposable and we denote it by $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$. Another proof for $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$ indecomposable by applying Proposition 1.8 can be found in Remark 3.3.

(2) $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1-\alpha \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ and $-1$. In this case, the corresponding quadratic Lie algebras have $\dim([\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]) = 7$ and $\dim([[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}],[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]]) = 4$ so they are indecomposable and not 1-step. We denote them by $\mathfrak{g}_{8,3}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,4}(\alpha)$.

**Proposition 3.1.** *For all $\alpha \neq 0$, the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{8,1}(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,2}$ are not isomorphic.*

*Proof.* We assume there is some $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{8,1}(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,2}$ are isomorphic. It means there exists a nonzero isotropic central $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_{8,1}(\alpha)$ such that $Z^\perp/\mathbb{F}Z$ is 2-step nilpotent.

Let $Z = af + bZ_2$ be a nonzero central element in $\mathfrak{g}_{8,1}(\alpha)$. It is obvious that $Z$ isotropic. One has $Z^\perp = \mathrm{span}\{X_1, T, Z_1, Z_2, Y, f, X\}$ with $X := aX_2 - be$ and therefore $Z^\perp/\mathbb{F}Z$ is 2-step nilpotent if and only if $[[X_1, X], X_1]$ and $[[X_1, X], X]$ are in $\mathbb{F}Z$. We can check that it can not happen for every $\alpha \neq 0$ and this is a contradiction. So $\mathfrak{g}_{8,1}(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,2}$ are not isomorphic. □

3.4. **Double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}_{6,2}(\lambda)$.**

Let us consider $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_{6,2}(\lambda)$ with a basis $\{X, X_1, X_2, Z_1, Z_2, Z\}$ such that the nonzero bilinear forms $B(X,Z) = B(X_1,Z_1) = B(X_2,Z_2) = 1$ and the nonzero Lie brackets $[X,X_1] = X_1$, $[X,X_2] = \lambda X_2$, $[X,Z_1] = -Z_1$, $[X,Z_2] = -\lambda Z_2$, $[X_1,Z_1] = Z$, $[X_2,Z_2] = \lambda Z$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, $0 < |\lambda| \leq 1$. By a straightforward computation, if $D$ is a skew-symmetric derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$ then the matrix of $D$ with respect to the given basis is:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y & a & c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z & b & d & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t & 0 & 0 & -a & -b & 0 \\ h & 0 & 0 & -c & -d & 0 \\ 0 & -t & -h & -y & -z & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we consider two following cases: $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ (note that the quadratic Lie algebras corresponding to the cases of $\lambda = \pm 1$ are isomorphic).

(1) If $\lambda = 1$ then one proves that any skew-symmetric outer derivation has the matrix $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & d & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a & -b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -c & -d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Denote by $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$. If $P$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix such that its determinant is 1. Set $\begin{pmatrix} X_1' & X_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \end{pmatrix} P$ and $\begin{pmatrix} Z_1' & Z_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & Z_2 \end{pmatrix} (P^t)^{-1}$. It is easy to check that $B(X_i', Z_j') = \delta_{ij}$, $[X,X_1'] = X_1'$, $[X,X_2'] = X_2'$, $[X,Z_1'] = -Z_1'$, $[X,Z_2'] = -Z_2'$ and $[X_1',Z_1'] = [X_2',Z_2'] = Z$. In this case, the matrix of $D$ in the basis $\{X, X_i', Z_i', Z\}$ has form:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P^{-1}AP & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -P^tA^t(P^t)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since every matrix is similar to a matrix in Jordan form so we consider following matrices $A$ (up to nonzero multiples): $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$. We can verify that two first cases correspond to $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposable.

For the case of $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ is exactly the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$ appeared in Subsection 3.3. Indeed, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{span}\{e, X_2, Z_1, f, Z_2, X_1\} \oplus \mathbb{F}X \oplus \mathbb{F}Z$ the double extension of $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1} = \text{span}\{e, X_2, Z_1, f, Z_2, X_1\}$ by

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the remaining case, we will denote it by $\mathfrak{g}_{8,6}$ and prove that it is indecomposable. Indeed, assume $\mathfrak{g}_{8,6}$ is decomposable then it must be decomposed by $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_4$. By a way similar to what we have done for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$ above, we can conclude that $\mathfrak{g}_{8,6}$ indecomposable. Another proof by applying Proposition 1.8 can be found in Remark 3.3.

(2) $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ then it is easy to check that $b = c = 0$. If $a = d = 0$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable. If $a = 0$ and $d \neq 0$ (it is similarly done for $a \neq 0$ and $d = 0$) then we can choose $d = 1$. By changing of basis $X := X - \lambda e$, $f := f + \lambda Z$ and keep the other we get $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_4$ decomposable.

If $a$ and $d \neq 0$, dividing by $a$ then $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$. If $\alpha = \lambda$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable. If $\alpha \neq \lambda$ then by changing the basis $e := \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \alpha}\left(e - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} X\right)$, $Z := Z + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} f$, $f := \frac{\lambda - \alpha}{\lambda} f$ and keep the other we get $\alpha = 0$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable.

3.5. **Double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}_{6,3}$.**

Finally, let us consider $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_{6,3}$. Choose a basis $\{X, X_1, X_2, Z_1, Z_2, Z\}$ satisfying $B(X, Z) = 1$, $B(X_i, Z_j) = \delta_{ij}$, the others trivial and the Lie brackets: $[X, X_1] = X_1$, $[X, X_2] = X_1 + X_2$, $[X, Z_1] = -Z_1 - Z_2$, $[X, Z_2] = -Z_2$, $[X_1, Z_1] = [X_2, Z_1] = [X_2, Z_2] = Z$. By a straightforward computation, the matrix of a skew-symmetric derivation of $\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to the given basis

is given by:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z & 0 & 0 & -a & 0 & 0 \\ t & 0 & 0 & -b & -a & 0 \\ 0 & -z & -t & -x & -y & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Eliminating inner derivations, we choose $a$, $x$, $y$, $z$ and $t$ zero. If $b = 0$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable. If $b \neq 0$ then it is reduced to consider

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, $\mathfrak{g}$ is the double extension of $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{g}_{6,1} = \mathrm{span}\{e, X_2, Z_1, Z + f, Z_2, X_1\}$ by the derivation

$$D = \mathrm{ad}(X - e) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$ has just been considered in Subsection 3.3.

**Theorem 3.2.** *In summary, solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimension 8 are separated in the following nonisomorphic families:*

(1) $\mathfrak{g}_7 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$ *with* $\mathfrak{g}_7$ *a solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimension 7.*
(2) $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_4$.
(3) *indecomposable 1-step double extensions by linear maps* $A \in \mathfrak{o}(6)$ *with totally isotropic kernel up to conjugation and up to nonzero multiples. In this case, the classification has been given in* [DPU12].
(4) *the double extension* $\mathfrak{g}_{8,1}(\alpha)$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$ *by*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \alpha \neq 0$$

*in a basis* $\{X_1, X_2, T, Z_1, Z_2, Y\}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$ *where* $\{X_1, X_2, T, Z_1, Z_2\}$ *is a canonical basis of* $\mathfrak{g}_5$, $B(Y,Y) = 1$ *and* $[X_1, X_2] = T$, $[X_1, T] = -Z_2$, $[X_2, T] = Z_1$.

(5) *the double extensions* $\mathfrak{g}_{8,2}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{8,3}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{8,4}(\alpha)$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$ *by* $D = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^t \end{pmatrix}$ *where* $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1-\alpha \end{pmatrix}$, $\alpha \neq 0$ *and* $-1$, *respectively in a canonical basis* $\{X_i, Z_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, *of* $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$ *such that the nonzero brackets* $[X_1, X_2] = Z_3$, $[X_2, X_3] = Z_1$, $[X_3, X_1] = Z_2$.

(6) *the double extensions* $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{8,6}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}_{6,2}(1)$ *by*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -A^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

*where* $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ *respectively in a basis* $\{X, X_i, Z_i, Z\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$, *of* $\mathfrak{g}_{6,2}(1)$ *such that the non trivial bilinear forms* $B(X,Z) = 1$, $B(X_i, Z_j) = \delta_{ij}$, *the nonzero Lie brackets* $[X, X_1] = X_1$, $[X, X_2] = X_2$, $[X, Z_1] = -Z_1$, $[X, Z_2] = -Z_2$ *and* $[X_1, Z_1] = [X_2, Z_2] = Z$.

*Remark* 3.3.

- The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{8,1}(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,2}$ are nilpotent while $\mathfrak{g}_{8,3}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{8,4}(\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,6}$ are solvable nonnilpotent.
- The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{8,3}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,4}(\alpha)$ are double extensions of $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$ by invertible skew-symmetric derivations and the derivation corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}_{8,4}(\alpha)$ is diagonalizable on the center of $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$. Hence, applying Corollary 1.5, $\mathfrak{g}_{8,3}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,4}(\alpha)$ are not isomorphic. Two Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{8,4}(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,4}(\alpha')$ are isomorphic if and only if $(1, \alpha, -1-\alpha) = (1, \alpha', -1-\alpha')$ up to nonzero multiples and up to coordinates permutation.
- The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{8,6}$ are double extensions of the quadratic vector space $\mathbb{F}^4 = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2, Z_1, Z_2\}$ by the pair $(C, D_1)$ and $(C, D_2)$ respectively where

$$C = \text{ad}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible and diagonalizable, $D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the basis $\{X_1, X_2, Z_1, Z_2\}$. Note that $D_1$ is nilpotent and $D_2$ is invertible, not diagonalizable. By Proposition 1.8, the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,6}$ is not isomorphic.

- Observe that for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_4$, by a suitable basis changing, we can write $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_4$ as a double extension of $\mathbb{F}^4$ by the pair $(C,D)$ where $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to a canonical basis. Both of them are diagonalizable so $\mathfrak{g}_4 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_4$ can not be isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$ or $\mathfrak{g}_{8,6}$. As a consequence, The quadratic Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{8,5}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{8,6}$ are indecomposable.

*Remark* 3.4.

(1) In the classification of indecomposable solvable quadratic Lie algebras of dimension 8, we can begin with a solvable quadratic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension 8 having a totally isotropic center. Since $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is nilpotent then we have three situations: $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is Abelian, isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$ or isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$. For the case $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ Abelian, the reader can find a classification in [KO04] and [KO06] by cohomology. In the case $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$, it is obvious that $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})) = 1$, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a double extension of $\mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$ by a skew-symmetric derivation which is invertible on the center of $\mathfrak{g}_5 \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}$. Following the calculation of skew-symmetric derivations in Subsection 3.2, this can not happen. For the last, $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})) = 1$, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a double extension of $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$ by a skew-symmetric derivation which is invertible on the center of $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$. It has been indicated in Subsection 3.3.

(2) Combining with a classification result in [BE], we obtain a classification of not necessarily indecomposable, non solvable, quadratic Lie algebras of dimensions $\leq 11$

## REFERENCES


[BE] S. Benayadi and A. Elduque, *Classification of quadratic Lie algebras of low dimension*, arXiv:1404.5174v1 [math.RA]. ↑1, 6, 18

[BK03] H. Baum and I. Kath, *Doubly extended Lie groups – curvature, holonomy and parallel spinors*, Differential Geom. Appl. **19** (2003), no. 3, 253 – 280. ↑1, 5

[CS08] R. Campoamor-Stursberg, *Quasi-classical Lie algebras and their contractions*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **47** (2008), no. 2, 583 – 598. ↑1, 6

[DPU12] M. T. Duong, G. Pinczon, and R. Ushirobira, *A new invariant of quadratic Lie algebras*, Algebras and Representation Theory **15** (2012), 1163–1203. ↑3, 8, 16

[FS87] G. Favre and L. J. Santharoubane, *Symmetric, invariant, non-degenerate bilinear form on a Lie algebra*, J. Algebra **105** (1987), 451–464. ↑1, 3

[Kat07] I. Kath, *Nilpotent metric Lie algebras of small dimension*, J. Lie Theory **17** (2007), no. 1, 41 – 61. ↑1

[KO04] I. Kath and M. Olbrich, *Metric Lie algebras with maximal isotropic centre*, Math. Z. **246** (2004), 23–53. ↑9, 18

[KO06] ———, *Metric Lie algebras and quadratic extensions*, Transform. Groups **11** (2006), no. 1, 87 – 131. ↑9, 18

[MR85] A. Medina and P. Revoy, *Algèbres de Lie et produit scalaire invariant*, Ann. Sci. Éc Norm. Supér. **4** (1985), 553–561. ↑1

[Med85] A. Medina, *Groupes de Lie munis de métriques bi-invariantes*, Tôhoku Math. J. **37** (1985), 405–421. ↑6



MINH THANH DUONG, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, HO CHI MINH CITY UNIVERSITY OF PEDAGOGY, 280 AN DUONG VUONG, HO CHI MINH CITY, VIETNAM.

NON-A TEAM, INRIA, FRANCE & INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BOURGOGNE, UNIVERSITÉ DE BOURGOGNE, B.P. 47870, F-21078 DIJON CEDEX

*E-mail address*: thanhdmi@hcmup.edu.vn

*E-mail address*: Rosane.Ushirobira@inria.fr